

### 3.5 Definition of Questionnaires III: Expressions

The system includes an expression parser as a kind of stopgap between the two basic scoring approaches of **contributing question scores** and **severity scores** and the option of resorting to special-purpose programming as is used for the Kirby system described in section 3.7 below.

The **Expression Builder** that makes this possible is described at some length in the User Documentation and this should be read first.

The entire expression system is handled by the **Expression Builder** form frmExpressionBuilder, and the module xpParser.

The underlying objects on which the expression handling runs are defined in xpParser as these:

```
public enum xpState { Any, Number, Statement, InBetween}
public class xpBits { public xpElemType typ; public int pos; }

public enum xpElemType { Op, Opnd }
public enum xpOpType { Plus, Minus, Mul, Div, EQ, NEQ, GT, LT, GTE, LTE, And, Or, Xor, Close, Between,
    parseOpen }
public enum xpOpndType { Open, Number, Boolean, Score, Question, Option }
public class xpElemBase
{
    public string text = ""; //never null
    public int pos;
    public xpElemType type;
    public virtual xpElemBase Clone() { return (xpElemBase)this.MemberwiseClone(); }
}
public class xpOp : xpElemBase
{
    public xpOpType optype;
    public xpState state;
    public xpOp() { type = xpElemType.Op; }
    public xpOp Clone() { return (xpOp)this.MemberwiseClone(); }
}
public class xpOpnd : xpElemBase
{
    public xpOpndType opndtype;
    public double value = 0, leftval = float.MinValue, rightval = float.MaxValue;
    public bool boolvalue;
    public xpState state;
    public bool IsIntermedResult;
    public int Qix; //safeguard as Qs text may not be unique
    public long QSHash, QHash, QOHash; //Hash codes of the various items this can point to: note that
        for an option must store the question Hash as well
    [XmlAttribute]
    public QuestionSumCategory QSC;
    [XmlAttribute]
    public QuestionDef qd;
    [XmlAttribute]
```

```

public QuestionOption QO;
public xpOpnd() { type = xpElemType.Opnd; }
public xpOpnd Clone() { return (xpOpnd)this.MemberwiseClone(); }
//the QSC, qd and QO options are never saved anyway
}

```

They basically fall into the two groups of **Ops** and **Opnds** or operators and operands, although as you can see the two use a common base. **Operands** or **Opnds** will either be numerical constants or boolean values, or, and more commonly, they'll be *actual objects within the system*, either **questions** ([QuestionDef](#)), **options** ([QuestionOption](#)) or **scores** ([QuestionSumCategory](#)).

Because it would be inordinately clumsy to store copies of all these things with all their subsidiary bits to disc, these are all marked [[XmlAttribute](#)], and what is actually put out to disc are their **Hash codes** (as I call them): `public long QSCHash, QHash, QOHash;`.

I had problems trying to serialize the full expression code as a list of [xpElemBase](#) objects, so I disassemble the list into a list of **Ops** and a list of **Opnds** with an index list giving the structure of the original expression.

The true expression has to be re-assembled on loading back from disc, and all the **Hash codes** have to be "filled in" by assigning the actual objects referred to.

This is done by a special class [xpDissembler](#):

```

public class xpDissembler
{
    [XmlAttribute]
    public List<xpElemBase> XP = new List<xpElemBase>();
    public List<xpOp> Ops = new List<xpOp>();
    public List<xpOpnd> Opnds = new List<xpOpnd>();
    public List<xpBits> Bits = new List<xpBits>();
    public void Assemble()
    {
        if (Bits != null)
        {
            XP = new List<xpElemBase>();
            foreach (xpBits bit in Bits)
                if (bit.typ == xpElemType.Op) XP.Add(Ops[bit.pos]); else XP.Add(Opnds[bit.pos]);
        }
    }
    public void ResetRefs(QuestionnaireDef QD)
    {
        foreach (xpOpnd opnd in Opnds)
        {
            switch (opnd.opndtype)
            {
                case xpOpndType.Question:
                    if (opnd.Qix > 0) opnd.qd = QD.questions[opnd.Qix - 1];
                    else foreach (QuestionDef qd in QD.questions)
                        if (qd.QuestionText == opnd.text) { opnd.Qix = qd.ix; opnd.qd = qd; break; }
                    break;
            }
        }
    }
}

```

```

        case xpOpndType.Score:
            foreach (QuestionSumCategory qsc in QD.categories)
            {
                if (qsc.Name == opnd.text) {opnd.QSC = qsc; break;}
            }
            break;
        case xpOpndType.Option:
            if (opnd.Qix > 0)
            {
                opnd.qd = QD.questions[opnd.Qix - 1];
                foreach (QuestionOption qo in opnd.qd.options)
                {
                    if (qo.Text == opnd.text) { opnd.QO = qo; break; }
                }
            }
            break;
        }
    }
}

public void Disassemble()
{
    Ops.Clear();
    Opnds.Clear();
    Bits.Clear();
    foreach (xpElemBase eeb in XP)
    {
        xpBits bit = new xpBits();
        if (eeb.type == xpElemType.Opnd)
        {
            xpOpnd opnd = (xpOpnd)eeb;
            Opnds.Add(opnd);
            bit.pos = Opnds.Count - 1;
            bit.typ = xpElemType.Opnd;
            Bits.Add(bit);
        }
        else
        {
            xpOp op = (xpOp)eeb;
            Ops.Add(op);
            bit.pos = Ops.Count - 1;
            bit.typ = xpElemType.Op;
            Bits.Add(bit);
        }
    }
}
}

```

All expresions are actually stored ONLY as these `xpDissembler` objects. At present, such objects can be set for a `QSC` or `QuestionSumCategory`, or for a `SeverityScore` ONLY.

The actual evaluation of expressions is entirely handled by the special class `xpParser` after which this module takes its name, and after earlier attempts to do things another way, this now evaluates expressions strictly using an **expression tree**, the basic constituent of which is defined as the first child class within `xpParser`:

```
public class xpParser
{
    public List<xpElemBase> Expression = new List<xpElemBase>();
    public xpState state;
    public bool ExpectOp = false, betweenHadAnd = false;
    public int bracketcount = 0, betweenbracketcount = 0;
    Stack<xpOp> OpStack = new Stack<xpOp>();
    Stack<xpTreeElem> OpndStack = new Stack<xpTreeElem>();
    Stack<xpState> StateStack = new Stack<xpState>();

    class xpTreeElem
    {
        public xpTreeElem Left, Right;
        public xpElemBase Item;
        public xpTreeElem(xpTreeElem l, xpElemBase i, xpTreeElem r)
        {
            Left = l; Item = i; Right = r;
        }
    }
}
```

After this declaration, the class defines a couple of functions to check operator precedence, and then comes the main `Evaluate()` routine to evaluate the effect of the various **ops** on their **operands**.

Being one big **switch** this should be fairly easy to follow:

```
public xpOpnd Evaluate(xpOp op, xpOpnd opnd1, xpOpnd opnd2, List<xpError> errors, bool isbetween)
{
    xpOpnd opnd = new xpOpnd();
    opnd.opndtype = xpOpndType.Number;
    opnd.text = op.text; //so as to give some hint in the error messages
    switch (op.optype)
    {
        case xpOpType.Plus: if (opnd1.opndtype == xpOpndType.Boolean || opnd2.opndtype ==
            xpOpndType.Boolean)
            errors.Add(new xpError("Cannot add boolean results (" + opnd1.text + ", " + opnd2.text + ")"));
        else opnd.value = opnd1.value + opnd2.value;
        break;
        case xpOpType.Minus: if (opnd1.opndtype == xpOpndType.Boolean || opnd2.opndtype ==
            xpOpndType.Boolean)
            errors.Add(new xpError("Cannot subtract boolean results (" + opnd1.text + ", " + opnd2.text + ")"));
        else opnd.value = opnd1.value - opnd2.value;
        break;
        case xpOpType.Mul: if (opnd1.opndtype == xpOpndType.Boolean || opnd2.opndtype ==
            xpOpndType.Boolean)
            errors.Add(new xpError("Cannot multiply boolean results (" + opnd1.text + ", " + opnd2.text + ")"));
        else opnd.value = opnd1.value * opnd2.value;
        break;
        case xpOpType.Div: if (opnd1.opndtype == xpOpndType.Boolean || opnd2.opndtype ==
            xpOpndType.Boolean)
```

```

        errors.Add(new xpError("Cannot divide boolean results (" + opnd1.text + ", " + opnd2.text + ")"));
    else opnd.value = opnd1.value / opnd2.value;
    break;
case xpOpType.GT: if (opnd1.opndtype == xpOpndType.Boolean || opnd2.opndtype == xpOpndType.Boolean)
    errors.Add(new xpError("Cannot take > on boolean results (" + opnd1.text + ", " + opnd2.text + ")"));
else { opnd.boolvalue = opnd1.value > opnd2.value; opnd.opndtype = xpOpndType.Boolean; }
break;
case xpOpType.GTE: if (opnd1.opndtype == xpOpndType.Boolean || opnd2.opndtype == xpOpndType.Boolean)
    errors.Add(new xpError("Cannot take >= on boolean results (" + opnd1.text + ", " + opnd2.text + ")"));
else { opnd.boolvalue = opnd1.value >= opnd2.value; opnd.opndtype = xpOpndType.Boolean; }
break;
case xpOpType.LT: if (opnd1.opndtype == xpOpndType.Boolean || opnd2.opndtype == xpOpndType.Boolean)
    errors.Add(new xpError("Cannot take < on boolean results (" + opnd1.text + ", " + opnd2.text + ")"));
else { opnd.boolvalue = opnd1.value < opnd2.value; opnd.opndtype = xpOpndType.Boolean; }
break;
case xpOpType.LTE: if (opnd1.opndtype == xpOpndType.Boolean || opnd2.opndtype == xpOpndType.Boolean)
    errors.Add(new xpError("Cannot take <= on boolean results (" + opnd1.text + ", " + opnd2.text + ")"));
else { opnd.value = opnd1.value * opnd2.value; opnd.opndtype = xpOpndType.Boolean; }
break;
case xpOpType.EQ: if (opnd1.type != opnd2.type)
    errors.Add(new xpError("Operand types don't match: (" + opnd1.text + ", " + opnd2.text + ")"));
else
{
    if (opnd1.opndtype == xpOpndType.Boolean) opnd.boolvalue = (opnd1.boolvalue == opnd2.boolvalue);
    else opnd.boolvalue = (opnd1.value == opnd2.value);
    opnd.opndtype = xpOpndType.Boolean;
}
break;
case xpOpType.NEQ: if (opnd1.type != opnd2.type)
    errors.Add(new xpError("Operand types don't match: (" + opnd1.text + ", " + opnd2.text + ")"));
else
{
    if (opnd1.opndtype == xpOpndType.Boolean) opnd.boolvalue = (opnd1.boolvalue != opnd2.boolvalue);
    else opnd.boolvalue = (opnd1.value != opnd2.value);
    opnd.opndtype = xpOpndType.Boolean;
}
break;
case xpOpType.Or: if (opnd1.opndtype != xpOpndType.Boolean || opnd2.opndtype != xpOpndType.Boolean)
    errors.Add(new xpError("Cannot take 'OR' except on boolean results (" + opnd1.text + ", " + opnd2.text +
                           ")"));
else { opnd.boolvalue = (opnd1.boolvalue || opnd2.boolvalue); opnd.opndtype = xpOpndType.Boolean; }
break;
case xpOpType.Xor: if (opnd1.opndtype != xpOpndType.Boolean || opnd2.opndtype != xpOpndType.Boolean)
    errors.Add(new xpError("Cannot take 'XOR' except on boolean results (" + opnd1.text + ", " + opnd2.text +
                           ")"));
else { opnd.boolvalue = (opnd1.boolvalue ^ opnd2.boolvalue); opnd.opndtype = xpOpndType.Boolean; }
break;
case xpOpType.And:
    if (isbetween)
    {
        if (opnd1.opndtype == xpOpndType.Boolean || opnd2.opndtype == xpOpndType.Boolean)
            errors.Add(new xpError("Cannot use boolean results in a BETWEEN (" + opnd1.text + ", " + opnd2.text +
                                   "+ ")"));
        else { opnd.leftval = opnd1.value; opnd.rightval = opnd2.value; }
    }
    else
    {
        if (opnd1.opndtype != xpOpndType.Boolean || opnd2.opndtype != xpOpndType.Boolean)
            errors.Add(new xpError("Cannot take 'AND' except on boolean results unless in BETWEEN (" +
                                   opnd1.text + ", " + opnd2.text + ")"));
        else { opnd.boolvalue = (opnd1.boolvalue && opnd2.boolvalue); opnd.opndtype = xpOpndType.Boolean; }
    }
}

```

```

        break;
    case xpOpType.Between:
        if (opnd2.text.ToLower() != "and" || opnd2.opndtype != xpOpndType.Number)
            errors.Add(new xpError("Wrong argument types for BETWEEN (" + opnd1.text + ", " + opnd2.text + ")"));
        else { opnd.boolvalue = (opnd1.value >= opnd2.leftval && opnd1.value <= opnd2.rightval); opnd.opndtype =
            xpOpndType.Boolean; }
        break;
    }
    opnd.IsIntermedResult = true;
}

return opnd;
}

```

Note that I allow for an unusual operator **between**, e.g.:

if X Between(Y and 4)

The real business of parsing an expression is handled by:

```

public List<xpElemBase> Parse(ref bool IsValid, out xpOpnd result, List<xpError> Errors)
{
    List<xpElemBase> Polish = new List<xpElemBase>();
    Errors = new List<xpError>();

    IsValid = true;

    OpStack.Clear();
    OpndStack.Clear();
    xpOp op;
    xpOpnd opnd;
    bracketcount = 0;

    int ii = -1;
    foreach(xpElemBase eb in Expression)
    {
        ii++;
        switch (eb.type)
        {
            case xpElemType.Op: op = (xpOp)eb;
                if (op.optype == xpOpType.Close)
                {
                    bracketcount--;
                    if (bracketcount < 0)
                    {
                        Errors.Add(new xpError("Missing Opening Bracket for Closing ')' at " + ii.ToString()));
                        IsValid = false;
                    }
                    ClearStacks(op, ii, Polish, Errors);
                    if (Errors.Count > 0) IsValid = false;
                    continue;
                }
            else
            {
                if (OpStack.Count == 0) { OpStack.Push(op); continue; }
                while (Precedence(op, OpStack.Peek()) <= 0)

```

```

{
    if (OpndStack.Count < 2)
    {
        //Error
        Errors.Add(new xpError("Missing Operands for operator "+OpStack.Peek().text+
                               " at " + ii.ToString()));
        IsValid = false;
    }
    else
    {
        PopStacks(op, ii, Polish, Errors, op.text);
        if (Errors.Count > 0) IsValid = false;
    }
    if (OpStack.Count == 0) break;
}
OpStack.Push(op);
continue;
}
//break;
case xpElemType.Opnd: opnd = (xpOpnd)eb;
if (opnd.opndtype == xpOpndType.Open)
{
    bracketcount++;
    xpOp opener = new xpOp();
    opener.optype = xpOpType.parseOpen;
    opener.text = "(";
    OpStack.Push(opener);
//Wegner says put it on the OpStack() although I've never really been happy with it!
//turns out if out it on the opnd stack it can get "hidden" by the intermediate result of the
//expression in brackets
}
else
{
    xpTreeElem TE = new xpTreeElem(null, opnd, null);
    OpndStack.Push(TE);
}
break;
}
}
ClearStacks(null, ii, Polish, Errors);
if (bracketcount > 0)
{ Errors.Add(new xpError("Missing Closing Bracket at end of expression" + ii.ToString())); }
//end of evaluation - value is in Opnd Stack
result = new xpOpnd();
if (OpndStack.Count == 0)
{
    Errors.Add(new xpError("No Operand left at end"));
}
else if (OpndStack.Count > 1)
{
    Errors.Add(new xpError("Multiple Operands left at end"));
}
else
{

```

```

xpTreeElem TE = OpndStack.Pop();
bool isbetween = false;
if (TE.Item.type == xpElemType.Op)
{
    op = (xpOp)TE.Item;
    if (op.optype == xpOpType.Between) isbetween = true;
}
EvaluateTree(TE, Polish, ref result, Errors, isbetween);
}
//doing it this way seems to be the only way to guarantee that the order of arguments for -, /, >, <,
//etc is right
if (Errors.Count > 0) IsValid = false;

return Polish;
}

void EvaluateTree(xpTreeElem TE, List<xpElemBase> polish, ref xpOpnd result, List<xpError> Errors,
                 bool isBetween)
{
    xpOpnd opnd1 = null, opnd2 = null;
    xpElemBase eb = TE.Item;
    if (eb.type == xpElemType.Opnd) { polish.Add(eb); result = (xpOpnd)eb; return; }
    else
    {
        xpOp op = (xpOp)eb;
        EvaluateTree(TE.Left, polish, ref opnd1, Errors, false);
        EvaluateTree(TE.Right, polish, ref opnd2, Errors, (op.optype == xpOpType.Between)? true:false);
        polish.Add(op);
        result = Evaluate((xpOp)eb, opnd1, opnd2, Errors, isBetween);
    }
}

```

This is called only from `public xpOpnd EvaluateExpression(xpDissembler XP)` in `Scores.cs`, apart from a test call in the **ExpressionBuilder**.

I would hope that the working of the **Expression Builder** itself, being entirely driven by user choices, should be clear simply from the accompanying User Documentation.